

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/749,615	Applicant(s) FREY ET AL.	
	Examiner MATTHEW S. LINDSEY	Art Unit 2451	

All Participants:

(1) MATTHEW S. LINDSEY.

(2) Robert O'Rourke (46,972).

Date of Interview: 21 January 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

55-60

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/John Follansbee/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2451

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed applicant that the application was being searched for allowance. The examiner suggested the applicant to correct 101 issues in claims 55-60. The applicant agreed with examiners suggestion to preclude claims 55-60 from being directed to carrier waves and signals by amending "computer readable storage medium" to "computer readable non-transitory medium". The applicant authorized the examiner changes in an examiners amendment once the application was in condition for allowance. .